

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/974,653	10/10/2001		Andrew D. Bicek	760-49	9912	
7	7590	06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
Ludomir A. Budzyn				NGUYEN, VI X		
HOFFMANN 6900 Jericho T				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Syosset, NY 11791				3731		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/974,653	BICEK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Victor X Nguyen	3731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	Idress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. I. 136(a). In no event, however, meply within the statutory minimum od will apply and will expire SIX (6) ute. cause the application to become.	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ty. communication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	March 2004.							
 /	nis action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 17-24 and 39 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		ı.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24 and 39</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	dia alastias reguiromen	4						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requiremen							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to t								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received ents have been received riority documents have l eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No been received in this Nationa	l Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pape (08) 5) Notice	er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	FO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 1-16,25-27 and 28-38 drawn to non-elected inventions. In December 2, 2003, applicant elected to prosecute Species II of Group III that associated with fig. 5 without traverse. Furthermore, Applicant has stated that all claims 17-27 read upon the elected species. However, claims 1-16,25-27 and 28-38 do not read upon the elected species. Therefore, non-elected claims 1-16,25-27 and 28-38 are withdrawn from further consideration.

The requirement is deemed proper and is therefore made Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Davila et al (U.S. 6,296,661).

Regarding claims 17-20 and 39, Davila et al disclose in figs 6-9, a stent graft for insertion into target site within a vessel of a patient, including: a main stent (80) has a radially-expandable body, at least one support stent (60), and a sheath (104) between the body, and the support stent where no portions of the main stent are in contact with the support stent, and the sheath is not bonded to the support stent. Note that the support stent (60) is capable of producing a force with the stent to hold the sheath (104) in place. The statement of intended use and other functional

Application/Control Number: 09/974,653

Art Unit: 3731

statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Davila et al which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

Regarding claims 18-20, Davila et al disclose the support stent (60) is superelastic alloys (see col. 5, lines24-32). The sheath (104) disposes radially outwardly or inwardly of the stent.

Regarding claims 21-22, Davila et al disclose the support stent has an axial length which is less than the axial length of the body (fig. 6, see col. 5, lines 21-25).

Regarding claims 23-24, Davila et al disclose sheath is selected from the group consisting of a polymeric/a biomaterial sleeve (see col. 9, lines 25-38). The sheath is also treated with a drug selected from the group consisting of biocompatible material (see col. 3, lines4-25).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/30/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claim 17, the examiner disagrees with applicant's remarks that there is no disclosure in Davila et al to rely on any pressing force generated by the inner and outer stents to hold the graft in place. As the examiner has pointed out above, Davila et al disclose in figs 6-9, a stent graft for insertion into target site within a vessel of a patient, including: a main stent (80) has a radially-expandable body, where at least one support stent (60) and a sheath (104) come between the body. The support stent with no portions of the main stent are in contact with the support stent. Note that the support stent (60, best view in figs. 6 and 9e) is capable of producing a force with the stent to hold the sheath (104) in place. The statement of intended use and other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Davila et al which is capable of being

Application/Control Number: 09/974,653

Art Unit: 3731

used as claimed if one desires to do so. Therefore, at least claim 17 of the invention is not defined over the Davila et al '661 reference.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/974,653 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

Vn $\sqrt{\nu}$ June 11, 2004

JULIAN W. WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Julian M. Moo